

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/767,513	Applicant(s) HERMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Peter A. Hruskoci	Art Unit 1724	

All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Michael E. Taken.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 31 August 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:


4, 12, 13, and 51

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to amend claim 4, and the specification as shown in the Examiner's Amendment, for the sake of clarity and accuracy, and to provide clear antecedent basis for the original claimed subject matter.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)